INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

St Paul District 05-594-DJP			
Dale J. Pfeiffle	Date: <u>February 24, 2005</u>		
TED: In the office \underline{Y} (At the project site	Y/N) Date: <u>February 24, 2005</u> e(Y/N) Date:		
	Wisconsin		
	Kenosha		
tudinal coordinates:	42.6065495967N, 87.8796031246W		
Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres):			
	Des Plaines, Illinois, Wisconsin		
i	Dale J. Pfeiffle TED: In the office Y (At the project site		

SITE CONDITIONS:

Type of aquatic resource ¹	0-1 ac	1-3 ac	3-5 ac	5-10 ac	10-25 ac	25-50 ac	> 50 ac	Linear feet	Unkno wn
Lake									
River									
Stream									
Dry Wash									
Mudflat									
Sandflat									
Wetlands	X								
Slough									
Prairie pothole									
Wet meadow									
Playa lake									
Vernal pool									
Natural pond									
Other water (identify type)									
¹ Check appropriate boxes that be	 st describe	type of iso	lated, non-	 -navigable, ii	 ntra-state wat	 er present an	d best estim	ate for size of	non-

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ :	If Known		If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgment			
	Yes	No	Predicted to Occur	Not Expected to Occur	Not Able To Make Determination	
Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by Migratory Bird Treaties?			X			
Is or would be used as habitat by othermigratory birds that cross state lines?			X			
Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?				X		
Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?				X	•	

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Preliminary __ Or Approved _X_.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., discussion may include information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections - 1 to 3 paragraphs): A wetland delineation completed by a private consultant was received for review and concurrence. The 103-acre parcel was found to have two separate wetlands. The first area is a 0.67 acre shallow marsh with a wooded fringe. This wetland discharges into the local storm water drainage system that would ultimately be connected to the Des Plaines River, a navigable water of the US. Therefore, this 0.67 acre wetland is subject to Corps jurisdiction.

The second area is a 0.30 acre shallow marsh surrounded by wooded fringe. The 0.30 acre wetland is confined to a depressional area that lacks a surface outlet. A review of 2000 and 2002 aerial photography, the Pleasant Prairie USGS quadrangle, and the Kenosha County soil survey verified the lack of a surface water connection between the 0.30 acre wetland and a water of the US. In addition, the 0.30 acre wetland is not adjacent to a water of the US and lacks a link to interstate commerce.

The subject parcel is under consideration for commercial development. Development plans were not provided with the delineation report.